

# BEAUDESERT & HENLEY IN ARDEN JOINT PARISH COUNCIL



## MINUTES – PLANNING SC

There follows the minutes of the Planning Sub-Committee and advisor to the JPC, using Zoom technology, on Wednesday 15<sup>th</sup> July, at 6.30pm.

Signed -

Parish Clerk & Proper Officer

### Those in attendance:

Chair Cllr M Bainbridge, Cllrs R Hubbocks, V Hubbocks, S Harfield, D Broadbent, J Kingsnorth, and Mr D Tomlinson.

Planning Committee were joined by full council for consideration of Item 4.1 ONLY, 20/00066/FUL, they were Cllrs E Field, A Okey, T Woods, K Easton, and J Jones who all left the meeting following the voting.

No requests from the public were received by email or by phone to join the meeting.

### Item 1.00

#### Apologies for Absence

None all present

### Item 2.00

#### Declarations of Interest and Dispensations

Cllr Bainbridge declared an interest in Item 4.6, 20/01581/LBC

### Item 3.00

#### Approval of Minutes of previous meeting held on the 22<sup>nd</sup> June 2020

Proposal that the minutes were an accurate report of the meeting, by Cllr Broadbent, seconded by Cllr V Hubbocks and carried unanimously. Chair signed off the minutes and passed to Clerk for the file.

### Item 4.00

#### To note the Council's responses to planning applications –

#### 4.1 - 20/00066/FUL WCG-WASPS Adoption

Detailed and lengthy discussion. Aspects of application focused on funding tied to S106 guaranteed compensation, [£50K] so nothing new on offer and certainly the compensation came nowhere near to covering the huge loss to community. Cllrs asked what would happen if JPC objected and were advised that it would then go to open SDC planning meeting where representations would be made in person. Actual planning request involved simple internal

modifications, but the application drew in more expansive community-based issues, which breached both NPP guidance and SDC core strategy. It was thought that if permissions are granted, Sport England may be disposed to taking the matter to higher government representation. Objection by residents backed by a cogent argument put up by eminent planning expert.

Cllr V Hubbocks proposed that the JPC consider objection or no representation, the objection based on exactly the same terms as the previous application. This was seconded by Cllr M Bainbridge, 5 councillors voted for no representation and 6 objected to the current application. The motion was therefore carried in favour of an **OBJECTION** being lodged by the JPC.

#### **4.2 - 20/01633/TREE** White Swan Hotel Tree

The Clerk had already exercised power of delegation with SDC in favour of **no objection** by the JPC.

#### **4.3 - 20/01484/FUL** Whitley Place Blackford Hill

Kitchen extension with little or no impact. Discussions generally agreeing no objection, proposed by Cllr Broadbent, seconded by Cllr Harfield, four in favour, one abstention, motion carried in **NO OBJECTION** by JPC.

#### **4.4 - 19/01593/LBC** Old Barclays Bank Building, High Street, external modifications

Council agreed generally that there was no objection but requested that the Clerk obtain more details about landscaping of the rear gardens. Proposed by Cllr Bainbridge, seconded by Cllr Broadbent, motion carried in favour of **NO OBJECTION** by the JPC.

#### **4.5 - 20/01352/FUL** 21 Glenhurst Close, Henley. Rear extension.

The JPC noted that planning was not formally lodged at outset and that SDC had visited the site and that this was followed by an application stating that work had started. The council and their advisor felt that the extension was deemed an inappropriate and excessive development, with potential overlooking issues, and that the design was not in keeping with the vernacular. This motion of objection was proposed by Cllr Kingsnorth, seconded by Cllr Broadbent, and carried unanimously in favour of **OBJECTION** by the JPC.

#### **4.6 - 20/01581/LBC** Guild Hall Gardens dismantling and removal of Sundial.

Cllr Bainbridge had an interest and was unable to take part in the vote. Generally all felt that whilst understanding the need to create more open space for outdoor functions, the dial had been in existence for over 100 years and was an historical piece. That it should be moved to a more appropriate area within the grounds. Cllr Kingsnorth proposed that they support the motion that the dial be moved to a more appropriate site and a new dial be sought and fitted, this was seconded by Cllr R Hubbocks, all voted in favour, the motion of **SUPPORT** with conditions, and carried unanimously by the JPC.

**4.7 - 20/01263/FUL** 49 Prince Harry Road. Conversion for office/garage into a dwelling. This involved raising the building to a first storey dwelling, by raising the roof line and alterations to the pitch of the roof. Cllr D Broadbent proposed no objection, seconded by Cllr Kingsnorth, motion carried unanimously in favour of **NO OBJECTION** by the JPC.

**Meeting ended 7.40pm**

**Date of next meeting** – to be confirmed, dependant on ongoing HM Gov Reviews and regulations.

**Signed** ..... **[Chair]**

**Date**.....

DRAFT